was considered. He had made a donation of He had also made donations of The had also made donations of the house of Lords and on the reputation of the House of Lords o
11. nomination was also considered. had donated . The Commission
involvement, as and his unsuitability for a peerage. The Commission had also received a letter from
appropriate for the Commission to take into account the in its consideration of nomination. The Commission agreed, however, that it was relevant to consider reputation and standing in the
community when considering his nomination. The public perception of the controversy over the such that it had damaged reputation. The Commission concluded that, if appointed, the controversy would have a negative effect on his credibility as a member of the House of Lords and on the reputation of the House itself. It was agreed that the Commission could not advise the Prime Minister to appoint
12. The Commission considered nomination. He had donated The Commission had received a letter from but that it was and that the Commission had consulted on the content of this letter, who confirmed their original position and said that other issues remained that were not covered in the letter. The Commission therefore agreed that it could not support nomination at present but, based on the it could be
looked at again in six to twelve months. 13. The Commission considered nomination. It recalled that it had decided that his role in the should not stand in